ALTS' perspective. Ameritech supports the means and variance analysis contained in AT&T's earlier ex parte submission, 4 concerning which AT&T latest proposal is a refinement (Ameritech Comments at 95). Similarly, Ameritech also agrees with "the general framework presented by AT&T for determining disparity in the first stage of the analysis" (Ameritech Comments at 93). From ALTS' perspective, the key issue here is for the Commission to adopt a single statistical test, even if debate continues concerning which refinements may be the most desirable.

BellSouth's proposed "statistical process control" approach falls far short of AT&T, MCI, and Ameritech's proposals

(Application by BellSouth for Provision of In-Region, InterLATA

Service in Louisiana, Appendix A, Vol 5, Tab 13, Stacy Aff. at

12-13; CC Docket No. 97-231). Statistical process control arose out a context where the measuring entity (originally a manufacturer seeking to improve and standardized production quality) had a full economic incentive to employ the technique in a sound fashion. OSS NPRM, App. B, ¶ 7. In the present situation, where the ILECs would have an incentive to misuse the technique, SPC's lack of well-understood mechanisms to prevent such distortions would likely prove fatal. Accordingly, it should be rejected here.

Filed February 3, 1998, at 2-3.

VI. THE ILECS' CLAIM THAT THE <u>IOWA UTILITIES</u> ORDER SOMEHOW ARGUES AGAINST THE ISSUANCE OF MODEL RULES IS IRRELEVANT IN <u>LIGHT</u> OF THE UPCOMING SUPREME COURT DECISION ON THIS ISSUE.

BellSouth and other ILECs insist that the <u>Iowa Utilities</u> decision somehow precludes the issuance of model rules (<u>see</u>, <u>e.g.</u>, BellSouth Comments at 2-6; Ameritech Comments at 6-11). Putting aside the fact that none of the ILECs' vehement protestations attempts to grapple with the fact the Eighth Circuit <u>upheld</u> the Commission's conclusion that OSS are in fact unbundled network elements under section 251(c)(3), there is no practical need for the Commission to address these claims at the present time. Having granted the ILECs' conditional petitions for certiorari on this issue in January of this year, the Supreme Court will resolve this matter with finality sometime within the next six months. If there proves to be any issue remaining concerning the model rules at that time, the Commission will able to fully reflect those concerns then.

Bell Atlantic's claim that section 271 does not support the Commission's power to issue performance measurements or standards is similarly flawed (Bell Atlantic Comments at 4). The Eighth Circuit's issuance of a "writ of mandamus" on January 22, 1998, concerning the Commission's power to review state pricing

⁵ <u>Iowa Utilities Board</u> v. <u>FCC</u>, 102 F.3d 753, 808-10 (8th Cir. 1997).

ALTS Reply Comments - July 6, 1998 - CC Docket No. 98-56

decisions in the context of section 271 applications, is the subject of a petition for certiorari that has been lodged with the current Supreme Court proceedings, and will likely be disposed of when the Court issues its final rulings.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the Commission should promptly adopt the proposed Model Rules with the modifications proposed by ALTS.

Respectfully submitted,

Richard J. Metzger Emily M. Williams

Association for Local

Telecommunications Services

888 17th Street, N.W., Suite 900

Washington, D.C. 20036

(202) 969-2583

July 6, 1998

| ENDOFFICE NAME | CLLI CODE | TRUNKS-FOCAL | TRUNKS-AIT |
|-------------------|--------------|--------------|------------|
| ALGONQUIN | ALGNILAQDS0 | 48 | 24 |
| ANTIOCH | ANTCILACDS0 | 48 | 0 |
| ARLINGTON HTS DS1 | ARLHILAHDS1 | 96 | 0 |
| AURORA "AE" | AURRILAEDS0 | 120 | 48 |
| AURORA "AR" | AURRILARDS0 | 96 | 48 |
| AUSTIN CG0 | CHCGILAUCG0 | 48 | 0 |
| BARRINGTON | BNTOILBADS0 | 48 | 24 |
| BARTLETT | BRTLILBTD\$0 | 96 | 48 |
| BELLWOOD CG0 | BLWDILBWCG0 | 48 | 0 |
| BEVERLY CG0 | CHCGILBECG0 | 72 | 0 |
| BLUE ISLAND | BLISILBIDS0 | 96 | 24 |
| BOLINGBROOK | BGBKILBKDS0 | 24 | 0 |
| CALUMET CITY DS0 | CMCYILCCDS0 | 48 | 0 |
| CALUMET CITY DS1 | CMCYILCCDS1 | 24 | 0 |
| CANAL DS3 | CHCGILCLDS3 | 48 | 24 |
| CARY | CARYILCADS0 | 48 | 0 |
| CHICAGO HEIGHTS | CHHGILCHDS0 | 48 | 0 |
| CICERO CG0 | CICRILCICG0 | 48 | 0 |
| CRYSTAL LAKE | CRLKILCKDS0 | 120 | 24 |
| DEERFIELD | DRFDILDFDS0 | 72 | 24 |
| DES PLAINES DS0 | DSPLILXLDS0 | 24 | 0 |
| DES PLAINES DS1 | DSPLILXLDS1 | 72 | 24 |
| DORCHESTER | CHCGILDODS0 | 72 | 24 |
| DOWNERS GROVE DS0 | DWGVILDGDS0 | 72 | 24 |
| DOWNERS GROVE DS1 | DWGVILDGDS1 | 24 | 0 |
| EAST CHICAGO HTS | ECHGILEHDS0 | 24 | 0 |
| EDGEWATER CG0 | CHCGILEDCG0 | 144 | 0 |
| ELGIN | ELGNILELDS0 | 120 | 72 |
| ELK GROVE DS0 | EGVGILEGDS0 | 24 | 0 |
| ELK GROVE DS1 | EGVGILEGDS1 | 96 | 48 |
| ELMHURST | EMHRILETDS0 | 72 | 24 |
| EVANSTON | EVTNILEVDS0 | 144 | 96 |
| FOX LAKE | FXLKILFKDS0 | 48 | 0 |
| FRANKFORT | FRFTILFBDS0 | 24 | 0 |
| FRANKLIN DS3 | CHCGILFRDS3 | 48 | 24 |
| FRANKLIN DS4 | CHCGILFRDS4 | 48 | 0 |
| FRANKLIN DS6 | CHCGILFRD\$6 | 48 | 24 |

| GENEVA | GENVILGNDS0 | 96 | 24 |
|-----------------|-------------|-----|-----|
| HARVEY | HRVYILHADS0 | 48 | 24 |
| HIGHLAND PARK | HGPKILHPDS0 | 24 | 0 |
| HINSDALE | HNDLILHIDS0 | 96 | 48 |
| HOFFMAN ESTATES | HFESILWLDS1 | 168 | 96 |
| HOMEWOOD | HMWDILHODS0 | 72 | 24 |
| HUMBOLDT CG0 | CHCGILHBCG0 | 72 | 0 |
| IRVING | CHCGILIRDS0 | 96 | 72 |
| JOLIET DS0 | JOLTILJWDS0 | 48 | 24 |
| JOLIET DS1 | JOLTILJWDS1 | 48 | 0 |
| KANKAKEE | KNKKILKKDS1 | 96 | 24 |
| KILDARE CG0 | CHCGILKICG0 | 24 | 0 |
| LAFAYETTE | CHCGILLADS0 | 48 | 24 |
| LAKE FOREST | LKFRILLFDS0 | 72 | 24 |
| LAKE ZURICH | LKZRILLZDS0 | 72 | 24 |
| LAKEVIEW CG0 | CHCGILLWCG0 | 96 | 0 |
| LAKEVIEW DS0 | CHCGILLWDS0 | 168 | 120 |
| LASALLE | LSLLILLSDS0 | 192 | 0 |
| LIBERTYVILLE | LBVLILLIDS0 | 240 | 120 |
| - LOMBARD | LBRDILLMDS0 | 96 | 48 |
| MCHENRY | MCHNILMYDS0 | 72 | 24 |
| MERRIMAC | CHCGILMEDS0 | 96 | 48 |
| MONROE DS0 | CHCGILMODS0 | 48 | 0 |
| MONROE DS1 | CHCGILMODS1 | 48 | 24 |
| MORTON GROVE | MRGVILMGDS0 | 72 | 24 |
| NAPERVILLE | NPVLILNADS0 | 168 | 72 |
| NEW LENOX | NWLNILNLDS0 | 24 | 0 |
| NEWCASTLE | CHCGILNEDS0 | 96 | 48 |
| NORTH CHICAGO | NCHCILNCDS0 | 24 | 0 |
| NORTHBROOK | NBRKILNBDS0 | 96 | 48 |
| OAK LAWN | OKLWILOLDS0 | 144 | 48 |
| OAK PARK CG0 | OKPKILOPCG1 | 72 | 0 |
| OAKLAND CG0 | CHCGILOKCG0 | 48 | 0 |
| ORLAND PARK | ORPKILORDS0 | 96 | 24 |
| OTTOWA | OTWAILOTDS0 | 144 | 48 |
| PALOS PARK | PLPKILPPDS0 | 48 | 0 |
| PARK FOREST | PKFSILPFDS0 | 72 | 24 |
| PARK RIDGE DS0 | PRRGILXLDS0 | 24 | 0 |

| PLAINFIELD | PLFDILPLDS0 | 48 | 24 |
|-------------------|--------------|-----|-----|
| PROSPECT CG0 | CHCGILPRCG0 | 72 | 0 |
| PULLMAN CG0 | CHCGILPUCG0 | 72 | 0 |
| RIVERDALE | RVDLILRDDS0 | 48 | 0 |
| ROGERS PARK CG0 | CHCGILRPCG0 | 96 | 0 |
| ROSELLE | RSLLILRZDS0 | 120 | 96 |
| ROUND LAKE | RNLKILRLDS0 | 48 | 0 |
| SCHAUMBURG | SCBGILCODS0 | 24 | 0 |
| SKOKIE | SKOKILSKDS0 | 144 | 96 |
| SOUTH CHICAGO CG0 | CHCGILSCCG0 | 96 | 0 |
| STEWART CG0 | CHCGILSTCG0 | 72 | 0 |
| STEWART DS0 | CHCGILSTDS0 | 24 | 0 |
| SUPERIOR DS0 | CHCGILSUDS0 | 48 | 24 |
| SUPERIOR DS2 | CHCGILSUDS2 | 72 | 48 |
| TINLEY PARK | TNPKILTPDS0 | 120 | 72 |
| WABASH DS0 | CHCGILWBDS0 | 24 | 0 |
| WAUCONDA | WCNDILWUDS0 | 24 | 0 |
| WAUKEGAN | WKGNILWKDS0 | 120 | 48 |
| , WEST CHICAGO | WCHCILWCDS0 | 24 | 0 |
| WHEATON | WHTNILWHDS0 | 120 | 96 |
| WILMETTE | WLMTILWIDS0 | 48 | 0 |
| WOODSTOCK | WDSTILWSDS0 | 96 | 0 |
| ZION | ZIONILZNDS0 | 24 | 0 |
| ARLINGTON HTS DS0 | ARLHAHDS0 | 24 | 24 |
| BENSENVILLE | BNSVILBVDS0 | 24 | 24 |
| DEARBORN | CHCGILIDDS0 | 72 | 72 |
| EAST DUNDEE | EDNDILDUDS0 | 48 | 48 |
| FRANKLIN DS0 | CHCGILFRDS0 | 72 | 72 |
| GLEN ELLYN | GLELILGEDS0 | 24 | 24 |
| GLEN VIEW | GLVILGVDS0 | 48 | 48 |
| GRAYS LAKE | GLYLKILGLDS0 | 48 | 48 |
| HICKORY HILLS | HCHLILHDS0 | 48 | 48 |
| LAGRANGE | LGRCILLGDS0 | 48 | 48 |
| LAKE VILLA | LKVLILLKDS0 | 24 | 24 |
| LEMONT | LEMTILLNDS0 | 24 | 24 |
| PALATINE | PALTILPADS0 | 120 | 120 |
| PARK RIDGE DS1 | PRRGILXLDS1 | 24 | 24 |
| PORTSMOUTH | CHCGILPMDS0 | 48 | 48 |

| SUMMIT | SMMTILSMDS0 | 24 | 24 |
|--|-------------|-------|------|
| SUPERIOR DS1 | CHCGILSUDS1 | 48 | 48 |
| WABASH DS3 | CHCGILWBDS3 | 48 | 48 |
| WHEELING | WLNGILWGDS0 | 120 | 120 |
| WINNETKA | WNTKILWNDS0 | 24 | 24 |
| Total End Office | | 8208 | 3240 |
| | | | |
| LAGRANGE | TANDEM | 360 | 240 |
| NEWCASTLE | TANDEM | 360 | 240 |
| WABASH | TANDEM | 1128 | 888 |
| NORTHBROOK | TANDEM | 360 | 240 |
| STEWART | TANDEM | 96 | 0 |
| Total Tandem | | 2304 | 1608 |
| Percentage Total Trunks Carried by Focal ** | | 68.4% | |
| Percentage of End Office Trunks/Total Trunks | | 74.5% | |
| — | | | |

^{**} This percentage expected to increase to approximately 73% by September 1st based upon orders already issued and lack of Ameritech fiber optic facilities.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 6th day of July, 1998, copies of the foregoing Reply Comments of the Association for Local Telecommunications Services were served via first class mail, postage prepaid, or by hand as indicated to the parties listed below.

Emily M. Williams Jera

Magalie Roman Salas*
Secretary
Federal Communications Comm'n
1919 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Kathryn C. Brown*
Chief, Common Carrier Bureau
Federal Communications Comm'n
1919 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Jake Jennings Office of Plans and Policy, CCB Federal Communications Comm'n 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 544 Washington, D.C. 20054

Janice Myles Common Carrier Bureau Federal Communications Comm'n 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 544 Washington, D.C. 20054

Theodore A. Livingston John E. Muench Mayer, Brown & Platt 190 South LaSalle St. Chicago, IL 60603 Leslie A. Vial Bell Atlantic 1320 N. Court House Road 8th Floor Arlington, VA 22201

Robert M. Lynch
Durward D. Dupre
SBC Communications
One Bell Plaza, 30th Floor
P.O. Box 655521
Dallas, TX 75202

William B. Barfiled Jonathan Banks BellSouth Corporation Suite 1800 1144 Peachtree St., NE Atlanta, GA 30309-3610

ITS*
2100 M Street, N.W., Suite 140
Washington, D.C. 20554

* By Hand